

Temperley-Lieb words as valence-bond ground states

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L323

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/28/12/001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 00:11

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Temperley–Lieb words as valence-bond ground states

Peter F Arndt†, Thomas Heinzl† and C M Yung‡

† Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

‡ Department of Mathematics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

Received 14 February 1995

Abstract. Based on the Temperley–Lieb algebra we define a class of one-dimensional Hamiltonians with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbour interactions. Using the regular representation we present ground states of this model as words of the algebra. Two-point correlation functions can be computed employing the Temperley–Lieb relations. Choosing a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the algebra we obtain a generalization of the (q -deformed) Majumdar–Ghosh model. The ground states become valence-bond states.

In this letter we present a class of one-dimensional Hamiltonians $H = H(a, b, q)$ with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbour interaction. The Hamiltonian is given in terms of elements of a Temperley–Lieb algebra [1] and has the structure of the Majumdar–Ghosh model [2–6]. With specific representations of this algebra one obtains various quantum spin chains. The functions $a = a(q)$ and $b = b(q)$ determine the next-nearest-neighbour interaction and can be chosen such that the ground state can be given explicitly. In a graphical form of the regular representation of the Temperley–Lieb algebra [7, 8] these ground states have a particular simple form. They are related to valence-bond spin states. We also calculate correlation functions using the graphical representation.

A Temperley–Lieb algebra $T_N(q)$ is defined by the following relations on the generators $e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$:

$$e_i e_i = x e_i = (q + q^{-1}) e_i \tag{1a}$$

$$e_j e_{j \pm 1} e_i = e_i \tag{1b}$$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i \quad (j \neq i \pm 1). \tag{1c}$$

Here we consider the case where q is real. These algebras appear as centralizer algebras of the quantum group $U_q SU(2)$ [7, 9]. Therefore, $U_q SU(2)$ invariant models naturally show an underlying Temperley–Lieb structure. However, our results can be used for models having other quantum group symmetries as well [10–13].

We define the Hamilton operator as an abstract element of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. We use the two-point correlation operators defined in [8]. These operators preserve the quantum group symmetry of the respective representations of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. Two types of two-point operators can be defined by the recursive relations

$$\begin{aligned} g_{l,l+1} &= g_{l,l+1}^\pm = e_l - (q + q^{-1})^{-1} & 1 \leq l \leq N - 1 \\ g_{l,m}^\pm &= -q^{\pm 1} g_{l,n}^\pm g_{n,m}^\pm - q^{\mp 1} g_{n,m}^\pm g_{l,n}^\pm & 1 \leq l < n < m \leq N \\ g_{m,l}^\pm &= q^{\mp 4} g_{l,m}^\pm & 1 \leq l < m \leq N. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In the following we use only $g_{l,m}^\pm$ with $l < m$. Note that the definition is in terms of generators e_l of $T_N(q)$, independent of their realization.

$$v_2 = (e_2 e_4 \dots e_{N-2}) v_1 = \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\quad \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \quad}_{\quad} \\ \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \end{array} \quad (9)$$

and

$$v_3 = e_2 e_4 \dots e_{N-2} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \\ \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \end{array} \right] \quad (10)$$

For an odd number of sites N and condition (8) we have two eigenwords that are given by diagram (10) without the left or right vertical line. We denote these words as $v_4 = e_1 e_3 \dots e_{N-2}$ and $v_5 = e_2 e_4 \dots e_{N-1}$ respectively. Their eigenvalues can be computed as $\mp b/2 (q^2 + q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})(q + q^{-1})^{-1}$ for v_4 and v_5 respectively.

For the following we impose condition (8). We investigate whether we can choose the function $b(q)$ such that the eigenstates v_i given above become ground states of the Hamiltonian $H^{(N)}$. We can show that $H^{(N)}$ has a ground state v_i if the function $b(q)$ is bounded by

$$b \leq (\geq) \frac{q^3 + 2q + 2q^{-1} + q^{-3}}{q^5 + 2q^3 - 2q^{-3} - q^{-5}} \quad \text{for } |q| > 1 (|q| < 1). \quad (11)$$

The relation between the cases $|q| > 1$ and $|q| < 1$ reflects the symmetry (5).

For a proof, first consider the case N even. One can think of $H^{(N)}$ as the sum of $N/2 - 1$ Hamilton operators $H^{(4)}$ each involving only three generators. This can be seen by grouping together $h_{i,i+1,i+2}$ and $h_{i+1,i+2,i+3}$ (i odd) in definition (3). The operator $H^{(4)}$ can be diagonalized (see below). Hence, the lowest eigenvalue of $H^{(N)}$ is bounded from below by $N/2 - 1$ times the lowest eigenvalue of $H^{(4)}$. We take the 14 possible boundary diagrams with $N = 4$ as a basis to write $H^{(4)}$ in the regular representation. Diagonalization of this 14×14 matrix gives the eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 0 \\ \lambda_2 &= 2 - (q - q^{-1})b(q) \\ \lambda_3 &= 1 - (q - q^{-1})(q + q^{-1})^{-1}b(q) \\ \lambda_4 &= 1 - (q^3 - q^{-3})(q + q^{-1})^{-1}b(q) \\ \lambda_5 &= 1 - (q^5 + 2q^3 - 2q^{-3} - q^{-5})(q^3 + 2q + 2q^{-1} + q^{-3})^{-1}b(q) \\ \lambda_{6,7} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}(q^3 + 2q + 2q^{-1} + q^{-3})^{-1}[(q^5 + 2q^3 + q - q^{-1} - 2q^{-3} - q^{-5})b(q) \\ &\quad \pm [(q^{10} + 6q^6 - 2q^4 + q^2 - 12 + q^{-2} - 2q^{-4} + 6q^{-6} + q^{-10})b^2(q) \\ &\quad - 8(q^4 + q^2 - q^{-2} - q^{-4})b(q) + 4(q + q^{-1})^2]^{1/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

The degeneracy of the first eigenvalue is seven and of the second two. The others are non-degenerate. From this result we can conclude that, if we have equation (11), all eigenvalues of $H^{(4)}$ are greater or equal to zero. Hence, for functions $b(q)$ that fulfil conditions (8) and (11), v_1, v_2 and v_3 are ground states of the Hamiltonian $H^{(N)}$ because their eigenvalue is zero.

For N odd we can analogously view $H^{(N)}$ as the sum of $(N - 3)/2$ operators $H^{(4)}$ and one operator $H^{(3)}$. Making use of the five different boundary diagrams for $N = 3$ we find

the eigenvalues of $H^{(3)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= 1 - \frac{b(q)}{2}(q^2 - q^{-2}) \\ \mu_{2/3} &= \pm \frac{b(q)}{2}(q^2 + q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})(q + q^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues μ_2 and μ_3 are twofold degenerate while μ_1 is non-degenerate. If we impose condition (11), μ_1 is always positive. Note that for (8) the values μ_2 and μ_3 are also eigenvalues of $H^{(N)}$, with eigenvector v_4 and v_5 respectively. Thus, imposing both conditions (8) and (11) a ground state of $H^{(N)}$ is given by v_4 or v_5 depending on the values of q and b . Explicitly, for $|q| \geq 1$ and $b \leq 0$ ($b \geq 0$) we have v_4 (v_5) as the ground state of $H^{(N)}$. For $|q| \leq 1$ and $b \leq 0$ ($b \geq 0$) we find the ground state v_5 (v_4).

If we drop condition (8), v_1 remains the eigenstate of $H^{(N)}$ for N even. For this case we wish to remark that one can choose two functions $a(q)$ and $b(q)$ within certain bounds to make v_1 a ground state of the Hamiltonian.

Next, we calculate correlation functions for the ground states v_1 and v_2 . Again, the computation is completely general in terms of boundary diagrams.

For a graphical calculation of the correlation functions we have to restrict our attention to the words of the left-sided ideal that is generated by $v_1 = e_1 e_3 \dots e_{N-1}$ (N even). The diagrams with a lower part as in v_1 and an arbitrary upper part constitute the ideal \mathcal{S} . Thus, the ground states v_1 and v_2 belong to \mathcal{S} .

Defining a transposed diagram as the up-side-down reflected diagram, the scalar product $\langle s_1 | s_2 \rangle$ of two elements s_1 and s_2 of \mathcal{S} can be defined as follows [8]. If one removes any loop from the diagram representing $s_1^T s_2$, the resulting diagram is always v_1 with a factor depending on s_1 and s_2 . The scalar product is given by this factor:

$$s_1^T s_2 = \langle s_1 | s_2 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \\ \dots \\ \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \end{array} \quad (12)$$

For example, it is easy to see that

$$\langle v_1 | v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2 | v_2 \rangle = x^{N/2}. \quad (13)$$

Thus, we can calculate the expectation value of the correlation operators $g_{l,n}^\pm$ for a state v in \mathcal{S} . We evaluate the diagrams

$$v^T g_{l,m}^\pm v = \langle v | g_{l,m} | v \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \\ \dots \\ \cup \quad \cup \quad \dots \quad \cup \end{array} \quad (14)$$

using the recurrence relation (2) expressed in terms of diagrams. By induction on k we can show that the correlation functions for the states v_1 and v_2 for all N are given by

$$\langle v_i | g_{i,l+k}^\pm | v_i \rangle = \begin{cases} (x - 1/x)x^{N/2} & \text{for } i = 1, k = 1 \text{ and } l \text{ odd} \\ (x - 1/x)x^{N/2} & \text{for } i = 2, k = 1 \text{ and } l \text{ even} \\ & \text{and for } i = 2, l = 1, l + k = N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Thus, only sites connected by an upper line in $v_{1/2}$ have a non-zero correlation.

Note that we find trivial short-range correlations, although the operators $g_{i,l+k}^\pm$ have a non-local structure (2). The ground states do not have any long-range order. This can be

expected from the interpretation of the words v_1 and v_2 in terms of spins that we give in the following paragraph for the representation (16) with spin $\frac{1}{2}$.

So far we have used the regular representation of the Temperley–Lieb algebra producing results independent of special representations. We now take the $U_qSU(2)$ spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of $T_N(q)$ that is defined by [8, 9]

$$e_i = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{2} (\sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z - 1) + \frac{q - q^{-1}}{2} (\sigma_i^z - \sigma_{i+1}^z) \right) \quad (16)$$

where σ^x , σ^y and σ^z are Pauli matrices. For this representation the $g_{l,m}^\pm$ (2) are $U_qSU(2)$ invariant generalizations of the $SU(2)$ invariant scalar product [8]

$$g_{l,m}^{q=1} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_l \cdot \sigma_m. \quad (17)$$

The resulting Hamiltonian $H^{(N)}$ is $U_qSU(2)$ invariant. We wish to know the spin configuration states that correspond to the boundary diagrams v_i , i.e. the ground states of this quantum chain for conditions (8) and (11). To achieve this consider the special case

$$b(q) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a(q) = 2/(x^2 - 2). \quad (18)$$

With the chosen representation and this condition $H^{(N)}$ becomes the Hamiltonian of the q -deformed Majumdar–Ghosh model [2, 6]

$$H^{\text{MG}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} P_{i,i+1,i+2}^{3/2} \quad (19)$$

where $P_{i,i+1,i+2}^{3/2}$ is the projector onto the (q -deformed) quartet of the spins at sites i , $i + 1$ and $i + 2$.

The Majumdar–Ghosh model is known to have a valence-bond ground state [3, 4, 6]. Denoting the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation space of a site by a dot, and a singlet combination of two adjacent spins by a short line, they can be given pictorially as

$$w_1 = \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad (20)$$

$$w_2 = \bullet \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \text{---} \bullet \quad \bullet \quad (21)$$

for an even number of sites. For N odd the ground state has the form of (21) without the dot to the very left or very right.

One can easily verify that w_1 and w_2 are, in fact, ground states. The action of any $P_{i,i+1,i+2}^{3/2}$ on either of these states gives zero since two of the three spins of sites i , $i + 1$ and $i + 2$ are in a singlet configuration. Thus, both states are eigenvectors of H^{MG} with zero eigenvalue. Also, all possible eigenvalues of H^{MG} are greater or equal to zero because it is a sum of projectors.

Alternatively, we have the ground states v_1 , v_2 and v_3 (respectively v_4 or v_5 for N odd), where v_1 and v_2 belong to the ideal \mathcal{S} . For representation (16), \mathcal{S} is known to represent the $U_qSU(2)$ scalar states [7, 8].

At this point the correspondence between the diagrams v_i and spin configurations w_j becomes clear. A line connecting two upper points in a boundary diagram is represented by the singlet configuration of the spins at the corresponding sites. For this identification one can easily show that the action of the Temperley–Lieb algebra on a diagram of \mathcal{S} is mirrored by the action of matrices (16) on the matching spin state. In this way the two words v_1 and v_2 are represented by the two $U_qSU(2)$ ground-state singlets w_1 and w_2 respectively (with the spins of sites 1 and N in the singlet combination). The correct normalization of the spin states can be calculated from (13). Further analysing the action of $T_N(q)$, we find that

the word v_3 corresponds to a linear combination of the ground-state triplet and the singlet that are both pictorially described by w_2 . Finally, for N odd, v_4 and v_5 correspond to w_2 without the left and right dot respectively.

Recently generalizations of the Majumdar–Ghosh model have been discussed. A class of $SU(2)$ symmetric antiferromagnetic chains with valence-bond ground state can be found in [15]. Takano has introduced a generalization of the projection operators which appear in the formulation of the Majumdar–Ghosh model [16]. A q -deformation of the $SU(2)$ symmetric model has been given in [6]. With representation (16) the class of Hamiltonians $H^{(N)}$ is an extension of the latter $U_q SU(2)$ symmetric Majumdar–Ghosh chain. Note that the valence-bond ground states remain unchanged for a range of functions $a(q)$ and $b(q)$. A similar phenomenon has already been found for a spin-1 chain with matrix-product ground state [17]. We have not investigated whether our Hamiltonian is massless or not [5].

Choosing a different representation we can define further models with the same property. It is possible to represent a Temperley–Lieb algebra on quantum chains with $n = 2s + 1$ states per site. Such a representation of $T_N(q)$ is given through the matrix elements [11]

$$\langle m_i, m_{i+1} | e_i | m'_i, m'_{i+1} \rangle = (-1)^{m_i - m'_i} p^{m_i + m'_i} \delta_{m_i + m_{i+1}, 0} \delta_{m'_i + m'_{i+1}, 0} \quad (22)$$

where m_i is the spin variable at site i with $-s \leq m_i \leq s$. The value of p can be calculated from

$$q + q^{-1} = x = [n]_p \equiv (p^n - p^{-n})(p - p^{-1})^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

For $s = 1/2$ this reduces to the representation given in (16). The resulting Hamiltonians $H^{(N)}$ are $U_p SU(n)$ symmetric [11, 12]. In general the matrices (22) realize the projector onto the $U_p SU(n)$ singlet at two adjacent sites [10, 11] which is realized via the branching rule

$$n \times \bar{n} = (n^2 - 1) + 1. \quad (24)$$

Thus, even for $s > 1/2$ the ground state is of valence-bond type. For example, we can expect the ground state of the $U_p SU(3)$ symmetric model to be tenfold degenerate (one octet and two singlets).

We have given the correspondence between specific words of the Temperley–Lieb algebra and vectors of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ configuration space. The general relation of words of $T_N(q)$ and spin states will be discussed in a future publication.

We would like to thank V Rittenberg for suggesting the problem. Thanks also to M T Batchelor and F H L Eßler for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Temperley H N V and Lieb E H 1971 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **322** 251
- [2] Majumdar C K and Ghosh D K 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 1399
- [3] Majumdar C K 1970 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **3** 911
- [4] van den Broek P M 1980 *Phys. Lett.* **77A** 261
- [5] Affleck I, Kennedy T, Lieb E H and Tasaki H 1988 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115** 477
- [6] Batchelor M T and Yung C M 1994 q -deformation of quantum spin chains with exact valence-bond ground state *Preprint cond-mat 9403080*
- [7] Martin P P 1991 *Potts Models and Related Problems in Statistical Mechanics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [8] Hinrichsen H, Martin P P, Rittenberg V and Scheunert M 1994 *Nucl. Phys. B* **415** 533
- [9] Pasquier V and Saleur H 1990 *Nucl. Phys. B* **330** 523
- [10] Batchelor M T and Kuniba A 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 2599
- [11] Batchelor M T, Mezincescu L, Nepomechie R I and Rittenberg V 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L141

- [12] Affleck I 1990 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2** 405
- [13] Barber M N and Batchelor M T 1989 *Phys. Rev. B* **40** 4621
- [14] Anderson P W 1987 *Science* **235** 1196
- [15] Shastry B S and Sutherland B 1981 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** 964
- [16] Takano K 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** L269
- [17] Klümper A, Schadschneider A and Zittartz J 1993 *Europhys. Lett.* **24** 293
- [18] Berkcan E 1983 *Nucl. Phys. B* **215** 68